

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,129	11/25/2003	Hidehiko Fujiwara	040447-0255	4405
	7590 · 07/02/2007 LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	CT NIW		· COLIN, CARL G	
WASHINGTO			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
, ·			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
	10/720,129	FUJIWARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	,		
	Carl Colin	2136			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal m	•	merits is		
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Exame 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exame 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	rawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  iner. s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) he drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date see att.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/720,129 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Pursuant to USC 131, claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

### **Priority**

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of Foreign Priority papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-
- (d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Objections

3. Claims 8-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the encrypted data" should read --encrypted data-- for lack of antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2136

Claims 1-2, 5-7, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent US 2003/0219127 to Russ et al.

slave unit in an intranet protected by a firewall and another slave unit located outside the firewall through the Internet, the system comprises:

an agency communication section (i.e. DSCT) equipped to the intranet (see figure 1) for executing encryption or decryption by agency (see page 5, paragraph 46) for a slave unit (client-receiver 122) having no mechanism (secure element) for encryption in the intranet (see page 15, paragraph 145).

As per claim 1, Russ et al discloses a system for executing communications between a

As per claim 2, **Russ et al** discloses DSCT may be acting as a proxy for the client-receiver and access control (see page 5, paragraph 46 see also paragraph 71 and fig. 3) that meets the recitation of intranet with a firewall. **Russ et al** further discloses wherein said agency communication section (i.e. DSCT) executes the communications without encryption, when an access is made from a slave unit which is located outside the firewall and is not adapted to encryption (see page 10, paragraph 92).

As per claims 5-7, **Russ et al** discloses wherein said agency communication section has a virtual slave unit having the function of the slave unit and a function of converting voice and data formats to go beyond the firewall, and said virtual slave unit executes communications by agency (see page 4, paragraph 37 and page 12, paragraph 116).

As per claims 14-16, **Russ et al** discloses wherein a slave unit having a mechanism for encryption is used, and said slave unit has means for judging whether said slave unit is located inside or outside the firewall, said slave unit executing encryption if it is judged by said means that said slave unit is located outside the firewall or stopping the encryption function if it is judged by said means that said slave unit is located inside the firewall (see page 9-10, paragraphs 90-92).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-4 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2003/0219127 to Russ et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/720.129

Art Unit: 2136

Page 5

As per claims 3-4, **Russ et al** substantially discloses the DSCT is adapted to determine whether communication should be encrypted or not and wherein said agency communication section executes communications without encryption or inhibits communications, when an access is made from a slave unit inside the firewall to a terminal (head end) which is located outside the firewall (see page 11, paragraph 103 and page 5, paragraph 46, sentence before last). **Russ et al** is silent about the terminal outside the firewall is not adapted to encryption, but discloses in an embodiment that encryption is not necessary for terminal inside the firewall since it is not adapted to encryption. Therefore, it would have only required routine skill in the art and design choice to have the client-receiver (i.e. laptop) communicating with a terminal not adapted to encryption because **Russ et al** suggests different non-limiting factors for determining whether encryption and decryption should be carried by DSCT and this will not depart from the spirit and scope of the invention disclosed by Russ et al (see page 11, paragraph 107 and page 15, paragraph 145).

As per claims 11-13, **Russ et al** substantially discloses the slave unit is adapted to transmit communications using secure such as SSL and TLS as well as non-secure communications which implies HTTP (protocols well known in the art) (see page 9, paragraphs 87-88). One of ordinary skill in the art would understand that HTTP is the protocol used for non-encrypted information.

5. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2003/0219127 to Russ et al in view of US Patent 6,813,264 to Vassilovski.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,129

Art Unit: 2136

Page 6

As per claims 8-10, **Russ et al** substantially discloses wherein said agency communication section analyzes the encrypted data, and executes the communications to a Web server or a slave unit in the intranet on the basis of the judgment result (see page 10, paragraph 92). Russ et al does not explicitly disclose judging whether the encrypted data indicates a Web access or encrypted private branch IP telephone communication, but suggests any type of communication protocol (see page 2, paragraph 24). Vassilovski in an analogous art teaches determining whether encrypted data should be routed to VOIP or PSTN and executes the communications to a Web server or a slave unit on the basis of the judgment result that meets the recitation of wherein said agency communication section analyzes the encrypted data to judge whether the encrypted data indicates a Web access or encrypted private branch IP telephone communication, and executes the communications to a Web server or a slave unit in the intranet on the basis of the judgment result (see abstract and figure 2). Therefore, Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Russ et al to include judging for data such as web access or IP telephone communication and executes the communications to a Web server or a slave unit in the intranet on the basis of the judgment result because it would direct communications to the right service according to user registration and entitlement to the service as suggested by Vassilovski (see column 2, line 35 through column 3, line 23).

Application/Control Number: 10/720,129 Page 7

Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure as the prior art discloses determination of encrypted communications based on device

type and content received. (See PTO-form 892).

6.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carl Colin whose telephone number is 571-272-3862. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carl Colin/

Patent Examiner, A.U. 2136

June 24, 2007